arXiv:1101.3629v1 [math.CO] 19 Jan 2011

On the multidimensional permanent and q-ary designs

Vladimir N. Potapov*

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, pr-t Ak. Koptuga, 4, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia
`vpotapov@math.nsc.ru`

Abstract

An $H(n, q, w, t)$ design is considered as a collection of $(n-w)$-faces of the hypercube Q_q^n perfectly piercing all $(n-t)$-faces. We define an $A(n, q, w, t)$ design as a collection of $(n-t)$-faces of hypercube Q_q^n perfectly cowering all $(n-w)$-faces. The numbers of H- and A-designs are expressed in terms of multidimensional permanent. We present several constructions of H- and A-design and prove the existence of $H(2^{t+1}, s2^t, 2^{t+1}-1, 2^{t+1}-2)$ designs for every $s, t \geq 1$.

Keywords: perfect matching, clique matching, permanent, MDS code, generalized Steiner system, H-design.

Introduction

A generalization of Steiner systems (t-design) is the H-design which was introduced by Hanani [5]. The notation of H-design is due to Mills [8]. We will follow the article by Etzion [3] in the introduction. Let X be a set of points and $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ is a partition of X into n sets of cardinality q. A *transverse* of C is a subset of X that meets each set C_i in at most one point. The set of w-element transverses of C is a set called $H(n, q, w, t)$ *design* (briefly H-design) if each t-element transverse of C is contained in precisely one element of this set. We propose another generalization of t-design. The set of t-element transverses of C is called $A(n, q, w, t)$ *design* (briefly A-design) if each w-element transverses of C contains precisely one element of this set. We everywhere imply that $n \geq w \geq t \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$ and all these numbers are integer. An idea to consider A-design belongs to S.V.Avgustinovich.

Denote $Q_q = \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ and $Q_{q*} = Q_q \cup \{*\}$. It is clear that each w-transverse of C corresponds to a *codeword* $(a_1, \dots, *, \dots, a_i, \dots, *, \dots, a_n) \in Q_{q*}^n$ where a_i is the number of the element of C_i that belongs to the w-transverse. A jth position of the codeword contains $*$ if and only if the w-transverse does not intersect the set C_j.

If $q = 1$ then $H(n, 1, w, t)$ design is just a Steiner system $S(t, w, n)$ (here symbol $*$ is replaced by 1) and $A(n, 1, w, t)$ design is just a Steiner system $S(n-w, n-t, n)$ (here symbol $*$ is replaced by 0 and symbol 0 is replaced by 1).

The set Q_q^n is called *hypercube*. The set of faces of the hypercube Q_q^n is in one-to-one correspondence with the set Q_{q*}^n and each k-dimensional face (k-face) corresponds to the codeword contained k symbols $*$. Thus $H(n, q, w, t)$ design is a piercing consisting of $(n-w)$-faces of the hypercube Q_q^n with the property that each $(n-t)$-face contains precisely one $(n-w)$-face of H-design; and $A(n, q, w, t)$ design is a covering consisting of $(n-t)$-faces of the hypercube Q_q^n with the property that each $(n-w)$-face is contained in precisely one $(n-t)$-face of A-design.

---

*Supported by RFBR, grants 10-01-00424, 10-01-00616.

If $w = n$ then a $H(n, q, w, t)$ design is just an MDS code in the hypercube Q_q^n with code distance $d = n - t + 1$. If $w = n$ and $t = n - 1$ then $A(n, q, w, t)$ design is just a *perfect clique matching* (see [9]) and a perfect matching if $q = 2$ in addition. It is clear that $H(n, q, n, n-1)$ and $A(n, q, n, t)$ designs exist for any $q \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. A set of 1-faces is called a *precise clique matching* if it is both $H(n, q, n-1, n-2)$ design and $A(n, q, n, n-1)$ design. The precise clique matchings with $n = 2^{t+1}$ and $q = 2^t$ are constructed in [9].

Mills [8] showed that for $n > 3$, $n \neq 5$ an $H(n, q, 4, 3)$ design exists if and only if nq is even and $q(n-1)(n-2)$ is divisible by 3. Ji [6] obtained necessary and sufficient condition on the existence of $H(5, q, 4, 3)$ design.

Define the *weight* of a codeword from Q_{q*}^n as n minus the number of symbols $*$ that the codeword contains. Consider an $H(n, q, w, t)$ design as a constant-weight code. The Hamming distance between two codewords of H-design is always greater than $w - t$. The minimum Hamming distance between two codewords of H-design at most $1 + 2(w - t)$. An $H(n, q, w, t)$ design that forms a code with minimum Hamming distance at least $1 + 2(w - t)$ is called a generalized Steiner system (see [3]). Note that a ordinary Steiner system ($H(n, 1, w, t)$ design) is always a code with Hamming distance $1 + 2(w - t)$.

Etzion [3] obtained series constructions of generalized Steiner systems being $H(n, q, 3, 2)$ or $H(n, 2, 4, 3)$ designs. He proved that a generalized Steiner system being $H(n, 2, 3, 2)$ design exsists if and only if $n \equiv 0$ or $1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 3)$, $n \geq 4$, $n \neq 6$.

Simulary we can consider an $A(n, q, w, t)$ design with the maximum code distance. The minimum Hamming distance between two codewords of an A-design is at most $1+2(w-t)$ (but it can be equal to 1). $A(n, 2, n-1, n)$ designs with Hamming distance 2 were firstly constructed in [4] for every $n \geq 4$. Krotov [2] and Svanström [10] proved (in other terms) that $A(n, 2, n-1, n)$ designs with Hamming distance 3 exist if and only if $n = 2^t$. It is straightforward that each $A(n, 2, n, t)$ design with Hamming distance $1 + 2(n - t)$ is a perfect weight code.

1. Constructions

We propose the following constructions of H-designs.

Construction I. Let the set $S \subset Q_{q*}^n$ be an $H(n, q, w, t)$ design and $R \subset Q_{q'*}^w$ be an $H(w, q', w, t)$ design (MDS code). For each pair of $(a^1, \dots, *, \dots, a^i, \dots, *, \dots, a^w) \in S$ and $(b_1, \dots, b_w) \in R$ we form the codeword
$((a^1, b_1), \dots, *, \dots, (a^i, b_i), \dots, *, \dots, (a^w, b_w)) \in Q_{qq'*}^n$. Let T be the set of all such codewords.

Proposition 1. *The set T is an $H(n, qq', w, t)$ design.*

Proof: Let $c^i \in Q_q$, $d^i \in Q_{q'}$ and $((c^1, d^1), \dots, *, \dots, (c^i, d^i), \dots, *, \dots, (c^t, d^t))$ be arbitrary element of $Q_{qq'*}^n$ with the weight t. By the definition of H-design their exists unique codeword $(a^1, \dots, *, \dots, a^i, \dots, *, \dots, a^w) \in S$ such that $(a^1, \dots, *, \dots, a^i, \dots, *, \dots, a^w) \subset (c^1, \dots, *, \dots, c^i, \dots, *, \dots, c^t)$. We convert the codeword
$(d^1, \dots, *, \dots, d^i, \dots, *, \dots, d^t) \in Q_{q'*}^n$ to new word with length w removing every position i if the codeword $(a^1, \dots, *, \dots, a^i, \dots, *, \dots, a^w)$ has symbol $*$ in ith position. So, we form a codeword $\overline{d} \in Q_{q'*}^w$. By the definition an H-design their exists a unique codeword $(b_1, \dots, b_w) \in R$ such that $(b_1, \dots, b_w) \subset \overline{d}$. Then the set T is an $H(n, qq', w, t)$ design by the definition.$\blacksquare$

As mentioned above, $H(2k, k, 2k-1, 2k-2)$ designs exist for $k = 2^t$, $t \geq 1$. Since MDS code with distance 2 ($H(m, q, m, m-1)$ designs) exist for any $q \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$, we get

Corollary 1. *For each $s, t \geq 1$ there exist $H(2^{t+1}, s2^t, 2^{t+1}-1, 2^{t+1}-2)$ designs.*

Note that in the construction I, the MDS code R can be choosen independently for every codeword from S. The number of $H(m, 3, m, m-1)$ designs is $3 \times 2^{m-1}$. A doubly exponential lower bound of the number of MDS codes with distance 2 ($q \geq 4$) was established in [7]. Thus the number of $H(2^{t+1}, s2^t, 2^{t+1}-1, 2^{t+1}-2)$ designs is double exponential with respect to the dimension 2^{t+1} as $s \geq 3$.

Construction II. Let a set $S \subset Q^n_{q*}$ be an $A(n, q, w, t)$ design. For each pair of $(a^1, \dots, *, \dots, a^i, \dots, *, \dots, a^t) \in S$ and $(b_1, \dots, b_t) \in Q^w_{q'}$ we form the codeword $((a^1, b_1), \dots, *, \dots, (a^i, b_i), \dots, *, \dots, (a^t, b_t)) \in Q^n_{qq'*}$. Let U be the set of all this codewords.

Proposition 2. *The set U is an $A(n, qq', w, t)$ design.*

The proof is simular to the proof of Proposition 1.

Construction III. Let a set $S \subset Q^n_{q*}$ be an $A(n, q, n-1, n-2)$ design. Define $V = (S \times Q^n_q) \cup (Q^n_q \times S)$.

Proposition 3. *The set V is an $A(2n, q, 2n-1, 2n-2)$ design.*

Proof: Suppose that $(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, *, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_{2n})$ is a word of weight $2n-1$. If $i \leq n$ then there exists a unique codeword $\overline{a} \in S$ such that $(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, *, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n) \subset \overline{a}$. It is clear that $(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, *, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_{2n}) \subset (\overline{a}, \overline{d})$ where $\overline{d} = (c_{n+1}, \dots, c_{2n})$. The case $n < i \leq 2n$ is similar.■

2. Multidimensional permanent

Avgustinovich [1] developed a method of counting the number of combinatorial configuration in terms of multidimensional permanent. We will use the notation from [9]. Consider a k-partite hypergraph G_k containing N vertices in each part C_i, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Suppose that each k-edge of G_k consists of k vertices, by one from each part. A set of disjoint k-edges that matches all vertices of the hypergraph is called a *perfect k-matching*. Let every part of the hypergraph be enumerated by the numbers $1, 2, \dots, N$. We define the *adjacency array* $M(G_k) = (a_{i_1 \dots i_k})$ by the following rule: $a_{i_1 \dots i_k} = 1$ if their exists a k-edge consisting of vertices with numbers i_1 from the first part, i_2 from the second part and so on and $a_{i_1 \dots i_k} = 0$ otherwise. A k-element subset I of the set $\{1, \dots, N\}^k$ is called a *diagonal* if each pair of its elements are distinct in every position. We define the *k-dimensional* permanent of $M(G_k)$ as

$$\operatorname{per}_k M(G_k) = \sum_{I \in D_N} \prod_{(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I} a_{i_1 \dots i_k},$$

where D_N is the set of all diagonals.

It is well known that the permanent of adjacency matrix of bipartite graph is equal to the number of perfect matchings of the graph. The following statement is straightforward from definitions.

Proposition 4. *The number of perfect k-matchings of a hypergraph G_k is equal to* $\mathrm{per}_k M(G_k)$.

Denote by $Q_q^n(w)$ the set of $(n-w)$-faces of the hypercube Q_q^n. For any integers w, t $(n \geq w \geq t \geq 1)$ define the bipartite graph $G(n,q,w,t)$ with the parts $Q_q^n(w)$ and $Q_q^n(t)$. Pair vertexes $\overline{a} \in Q_q^n(w)$ and $\overline{b} \in Q_q^n(t)$ is connected by an edge in $G(n,q,w,t)$ if and only if $\overline{a} \subset \overline{b}$. By the definition every $H(n,q,w,t)$ design is a subset of $Q_q^n(w)$ such that the neighborhoods of its vertices do not intersect but cover the set $Q_q^n(t)$. We assume that there exists a partition $H = \{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$, where $k = \binom{n-t}{n-w} q^{w-t}$, of the set $Q_q^n(w)$ into $H(n,q,w,t)$ designs. Define k-part the hypergraph GH with parts $H_1, \dots, H_k$. A collection $\{\overline{a}_1, \dots, \overline{a}_k\}$, where $\overline{a}_i \in Q_q^n(w)$, is a k-edge in GH if there exists $\overline{b} \in Q_q^n(t)$, $\overline{b} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \overline{a}_i$. Using Proposition 4, we obtain the following

Proposition 5. *The number of $A(n,q,w,t)$ designs is equal to* $\mathrm{per}_k M(GH)$.

Analogously, if there exists a partition $A = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$, where $m = \binom{w}{t}$, of the set $Q_q^n(t)$ into $A(n,q,w,t)$ designs, then we can define the m-part hypergraph GA and state the following

Proposition 6. *The number of $H(n,q,w,t)$ designs is equal to* $\mathrm{per}_m M(GA)$.

Author acknowledges D.S.Krotov for the interest in this work.

References

[1] S. V. Avgustinovich, Multidimensional permanents in enumeration problems, J. of Applied and Industrial Math 4 (2010), 19–20.

[2] D. S. Krotov, Inductive constructions of perfect ternary constant-weight codes with distance 3, Problems of Information Transmission 37 (2001), 1–9.

[3] T. Etzion, Optimal constant weight codes over Z_k and generalized designs, Discrete Math 167 (1997), 55–82.

[4] P. Hamburger, R. E. Pippert, W. D. Weakley, On a leverage problem in the hypercube, Networks 22 (1992), 435–439.

[5] H. Hanani, On some tactical configurations, Canad. J. Math 15 (1963), 702–722.

[6] L. Ji, An improvement on H design, J. Combin. Des 17 (2009), 25–35.

[7] D. S. Krotov, V. N. Potapov, On the number of n-ary quasigroups of finite order, arXiv:0912.5453v1 [math.CO] accepted to Discrete Math. and Application.

[8] W. H. Mills, On the existance of H design, Congr. Numer 79 (1990), 129–141.

[9] V. N. Potapov, On the clique matching of k-ary n-cube, accepted to Sib. Math. J.

[10] M. Svanström, A class of 1-perfect ternary constsnt-weight codes, Designs, Codes and Cryptography 18 (1999), 223–230.